From: MCCLINCY Matt

To: <u>Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA</u>; <u>ANDERSON Jim M</u>

Cc: LACEY David
Subject: RE: RPAC

Date: 11/14/2008 09:06 AM

Eric.

Below is the address for Stuart Dearden who is the current point of contact for ${\tt SLLI.}$

Stuart Dearden SLLI c/o Sanofi-Aventis Mail CodeJ103F Route 202-206 P.O. Box 6800 Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0800

Diragewater, No 1000, 1000

Regarding SCE and RI schedules, I will need to confirm with Dave Lacey on Monday. However, it is my understanding that the revised SCE is due in the first quarter of 2009. The scope of revised SCE expanded it into an RI-lite document which is to include all relevant information necessary to evaluate potential contaminant transport to the river.

DEQ is currently updating the overall project schedule. I will get back to you regarding the RI schedule after I talk with Dave on Monday.

Matt

----Original Message----From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Friday, November 14, 2008 8:24 AM To: MCCLINCY Matt; ANDERSON Jim M Subject: RPAC

Jim and Matt, I apologize for being a little short yesterday regarding the RPAC letter. I was in the middle of something else and had not really had time to read and think about your email.

Upon further review and reflection, I have revised the letter to address your concerns. Specifically, I agree that the letter should be written to RPAC. Really, RPAC should've submitted the memorandum directly to EPA; it is likely that should that have occurred, I would not have dropped the ball on this. Secondly, I agree that the letter should distinguish between the identification of in-water data gaps and the need for timely submittal of the upland RI and SCE but do not think we should be issuing two letters. Third, I will reference our summer 2007 meeting as the jumping off point for the preparation of the memo and the identification of in-water data gaps. Finally, I agree that we should be specific regarding next steps. Towards that end, I have requested that RPAC prepare a groundwater pathway evaluation to EPA (lead) and DEQ (support) and that RPAC should submit the upland RI and SCE to DEQ (lead) and EPA (support).

Once I hear back from Kristine and Chip, I will forward another draft letter back to you that incorporates these revisions. In the interim, can you provide me with the following: 1) A date for submittal of the RI and SCE submitted and 2) Point of contact information for RPAC (not sure if this is Bob Ferguson any longer and in any event, I need an address).

Thanks, Eric